



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,697	09/23/2003	Takashi Okaji	UNI079.015AUS	2203
29995 7590 01/28/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614				
EXAMINER LOFTIS, JOENNA RONEE				
ART UNIT 3623		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 01/28/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/668,697

Applicant(s)

OKAJI ET AL.

Examiner

JOHNNA R. LOFTIS

Art Unit

3623

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/5/07

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a first office action upon examination of application number 10/668,697. Claims 1-5 are pending and have been examined on the merits discussed below.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. Please make necessary changes to the title to reflect the inventive concept claimed. A suggestion is a title mentioning the determination of a delivery date. Appropriate correction is required.
3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: there are several instances where letters are missing in sentences, i.e., last lines of page 9, etc. Appropriate correction is required.
4. Claims 3 and 5 are objected to because of the following informalities: there are several instances where letters are missing in sentences. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 4 objected to because of the following informalities: In line 8 of page 18, it seems wording has been omitted. The claim as written does not make sense. In comparison to the specification, Examiner believes this claim should read, "...delivery date of a target product becomes a maximum". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoki, US 5,325,304, in view of Seth et al, US 7,065,499.

As per claim 1, Aoki teaches receiving information of customer orders and information of and storing into a received order database (column 2, lines 43-48 – order information is stored in an order data file); dividing orders stored in said received order database based on a reference master having various kinds of information about production materials registered therein, and storing the information of the orders which have been subjected to the division process to a received order division database (data file; column 5, lines 8-31 – ordered products are analyzed to determine how many products can be fulfilled by stock products and how many are necessary to be produced; the orders are stored in a data file); applying a process development to the orders which have been subjected to the division process, based on a basic unit master and storing into a process development database (column 5, lines 32-41 – a feeding plan is determined from standard production periods based on previous orders); specifying an optimum production starting date based on the information of orders which have been subjected to the process development and a production pattern stored in a production pattern database, performing loading, and storing results of the loading into a production planning database

(column 5, lines 32-41 – an optimal start date is determined based on standard production periods, i.e., if delivery is scheduled for 11/30 and standard production period is 20 days, the latest feeding (start) date is 11/9); and creating delivery date answer information based on said optimum production starting date (column 5, lines 32-41 – an optimal start date is determined based on standard production periods, i.e., if delivery is scheduled for 11/30 and standard production period is 20 days, the latest feeding (start) date is 11/9). Aoki does not explicitly teach prospect orders. Seth et al teaches gathering product queries and requests including customer committed orders as well as forecasted orders in an analogous art of for the benefit of processing the orders to arrive at a promised delivery date. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include both customer orders and forecasted (prospect) orders in the methodology of Aoki as a way to achieve the expected results of fully anticipating demand requests so as to ensure products are available when promised.

As per claim 2, Aoki teaches changing a production scheduling stored in said production planning database (column 5, lines 42-67 – if a vacancy of the producing apparatuses is found, the load of the order is reallocated (changed) to begin at an earlier date).

As per claim 3, Aoki teaches displaying a production scheduling stored in said production planning database and production results in a compared manner (column 6, lines 9-47 - comparing the scheduled production delivery date with the appointed delivery date from the customer and displaying the scheduled date delivery and number of missing days so that an operator can change the delivery date).

As per claim 4, Aoki teaches production pattern is set in such a manner that a production scheduling is repeated periodically and that the compliance rate of delivery date of a target

product maximum (column 5, lines 42-67 – if a vacancy of the producing apparatuses is found, the load of the order is reallocated (changed) to begin at an earlier date; this process is repeated; as the delivery date is moved up this keeps in compliance with scheduled delivery creating a pattern in which as new vacancies arrive, the start dates can be moved up).

As per claim 5, Aoki teaches receiving information of customer orders and information of and storing into a received order database (column 2, lines 43-48 – order information is stored in an order data file); dividing orders stored in said received order database based on a reference master having various kinds of information about production materials registered therein, and storing the information of the orders which have been subjected to the division process to a received order division database (data file; column 5, lines 8-31 – ordered products are analyzed to determine how many products can be fulfilled by stock products and how many are necessary to be produced; the orders are stored in a data file); applying a process development to the orders which have been subjected to the division process, based on a basic unit master and storing into a process development database (column 5, lines 32-41 – a feeding plan is determined from standard production periods based on previous orders); specifying an optimum production starting date based on the information of orders which have been subjected to the process development and a production pattern stored in a production pattern database, performing loading, and storing results of the loading into a production planning database (column 5, lines 32-41 – an optimal start date is determined based on standard production periods, i.e., if delivery is scheduled for 11/30 and standard production period is 20 days, the latest feeding (start) date is 11/9); and creating delivery date answer information based on said optimum production starting date (column 5, lines 32-41 – an optimal start date is determined

based on standard production periods, i.e., if delivery is scheduled for 11/30 and standard production period is 20 days, the latest feeding (start) date is 11/9). Aoki does not explicitly teach prospect orders. Seth et al teaches gathering product queries and requests including customer committed orders as well as forecasted orders in an analogous art of production scheduling for the benefit of processing orders to arrive at a promised delivery date (column 5, lines 41-59 and abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include both customer orders and forecasted (prospect) orders in the methodology of Aoki as a way to achieve the expected results of fully anticipating demand requests so as to ensure products are available when promised.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Klett et al, US 2003/0216952 – system and method for determining a promise date for a demand in a business environment

Matoba et al, US 5,479,343 – production planning system

Nakamura et al, US 5,414,843 – method and system for generating a project schedule using weighted work processes

Yoshizawa et al, US 5,442,561 – production management system and its application method

9. Applicant is advised that should claim 1 be found allowable, claim 5 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHNNA R. LOFTIS whose telephone number is (571)272-6736. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on 571-272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/jll/
1/16/08
/Scott L. Jarrett/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3623